EXHIBIT A

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK	Index No.: 151766/2017
COUNTY OF RICHMOND	Date of Purchase: 8/8/2017
X	
OMNIA ABOUELENIN,	SUMMONS
	Plaintiff designates
	RICHMOND
	County as place of trial
Plaintiff,	
	The basis of venue is:
-against-	Plaintiff Residence
	130 Hickory Avenue
ALMA LASERS, INC. and "LYNN" a/ka/ JANE DOE,	Staten Island, NY 10305
Defendant.	

X	

To the above named defendant:

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED to answer the complaint in this action and to serve a copy of your answer, or, if the complaint is not served with this summons, to serve a notice of appearance on the Plaintiff's Attorneys within 20 days after the service of this summons exclusive of the day of service (or within 30 days after the service is complete if this summons is not personally delivered to you within the State of New York); and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you by default for the relief demanded herein.

Dated: Mineola, New York August 7, 2017

CHOPRA NOCERINO, LLP.

January

SAMEER CHOPRA, ESQ.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Address and Telephone Number

85 Willis Avenue, Suite E

Mineola, New York 11501

(212) 868-3600 File No. 1473.RJ

Defendant's Address
ALMA LASERS INC.
c/o CT CORPORATION SYSTEM
111 Eighth Avenue
New York, New York 10011

Index No.: 151766/2017

COUNTY OF RICHMOND	Date of Purchase: 8/8/2017
OMNIA ABOUELENIN,	VERIFIED COMPLAINT
Plaintiff, -against-	
ALMA LASERS, INC. and "LYNN" a/ka/ JANE DOE,	
Defendant.	

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

Plaintiffs by her attorneys CHOPRA & NOCERINO, LLP., complaining of the defendants herein, respectfully show to this court and alleges as follows:

AS FOR THE FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

- 1. Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of New York, resident of Richmond County, with a residence address in Richmond County, State of New York.
- 2. That on April 5, 2017, and at all the times hereinafter alleged, and upon information and belief, the defendant, **ALMA LASERS, INC.**, was and still is a foreign corporation authorized to do business under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York.
- **3**. That on April 5, 2017, and at all the times hereinafter alleged, and upon information and belief, the defendant, **ALMA LASERS, INC.**, was and still is a domestic corporation authorized to do business under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York.

- 4. That on April 5, 2017, and at all of the times hereinafter mentioned, and upon information and belief, the defendant, **ALMA LASERS, INC.,** maintained a principal place of business in the County of New York, City and State of New York.
- 5. That on April 5, 2017, and at all the times hereinafter alleged, and upon information and belief, the defendant, **ALMA LASERS, INC.**, was a company organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York.
- 6. That on April 5, 2017, and at all the times hereinafter alleged, and upon information and belief, the defendant, **ALMA LASERS, INC.**, was a corporation or other business entity formed under and existing by virtue of the laws of the State of Illinois with its principal place of business located in Buffalo Grove, Illinois.
- 7. That on April 5, 2017, and at all the times hereinafter alleged, defendant, **ALMA LASERS, INC.**, was engaged in business of, among other things, designing, manufacturing, producing, assembling, licensing, selling, distributing, labeling, warning about and marketing for consumer use certain mechanical products such as JuvaShape Ultra.
- 8. That on April 5, 2017, and at all the times hereinafter alleged, defendant, **ALMA LASERS, INC.,** was engaged in the business of, among other things, designing, manufacturing, producing, assembling, licensing, selling, distributing, labeling, warning about and marketing for consumer use certain mechanical products such as JuvaShape Ultra.

- 9. That on April 5, 2017, and at all the times hereinafter alleged, defendant, **ALMA LASERS, INC.**, its agents, servants, employees and assigns designed, manufactured, produced, constructed, assembled, labeled, sold, demonstrated, taught, and placed into the stream of commerce a certain product known as JuvaShape Ultra (herein referred to as the "product").
- 10. That on April 5, 2017, and at all the times hereinafter alleged, defendant, "LYNN) a/k/a JANE DOE, was an agent, servant, employee and/or an assignee acting within her scope of employment and on behalf of, defendant, ALMA LASERS, INC.
- 11. On or before April 5, 2017 Defendants, their agents, servants, employees or assigns sold, distributed for sale, delivered, explained, tested and taught about the product and/or otherwise provided the aforedescribed product to the plaintiff.
- 12. On or before April 5, 2017 said product was being operated and used in its intended and customary purpose of treating potential consumers.
- 13. That on or about April 5, 2017, the plaintiff, **OMNIA ABOUELENIN**, was at or within the premise known as 466 Bayridge Avenue, in the County of Kings, State of New York.
- 14. That on or about April 5, 2017, while the plaintiff, **OMNIA ABOUELENIN**, was on the aforesaid premises, she was caused to be burned.

- 15. While said product was being used to treat at the time and place set forth herein the machine burned the person of plaintiff causing her serious, severe and permanent personal injuries, pain, suffering, disability and special damages.
- 16. The aforestated incident and injuries were caused by the defective and dangerous properties, design, workmanship, production, construction, assembly, testing, manufacture, labeling and distribution of the said product and/or its component part.
- 17. Defendant knew of should have known that the product described herein and/or its component parts were defective, dangerous and harmful and capable of causing harm and serious, severe and permanent personal injuries to persons operating or using the product and those around them.
- 18. Defendant knew or should have known that the product described herein and/or its component parts had potential to cause a dangerous and harmful and likelihood in causing harm and serious, severe and permanent personal injuries to volunteers or persons permitting use of the product during a demonstration by employees, agents or servants of the Defendant.
- 19. Upon information and belief, the product was intended and expected by defendants to reach consumers without substantial change in its condition at the time it left the hands of defendants.
- 20. The product at the time it was used on the plaintiff, was in a defective condition that was dangerous to volunteers, users and potential customers and/or others by reason of being

defectively designed, manufactured, applied, assembled and defective in that the product warnings were inadequate.

- 21. The product failed to perform in accordance with its intended purpose because of defects in the parts, machine itself and/or application or use of the product.
- 22. The product failed to perform in accordance with the expectations of its users, volunteers, consumers and the Plaintiff.
- 23. At the time of the occurrence herein the produce was being used for the purpose and in the manner normally intended.
- 24. That plaintiff could not, by the exercise of reasonable care, have discovered the defects or perceived their dangers.
- 25. Defendants, their agents, employees, servants or assigns sold the product, demonstrated the product, and/or delivered it into the stream of commerce for sale, in a defective condition, not reasonably safe and unreasonably dangerous.
- 26. As a proximate result of Defendants' use, demonstration, training, sale and/or delivery into the stream of commerce for sale, of the product, Plaintiff was caused to suffer and sustained serious, severe and permanent personal injuries, pain, burns, suffering and disability.

- 27. As a result of the aforestated, Defendants are strictly liable to Plaintiff under the doctrine of strict liability in tort.
- 28. All of the within claims fall within one or more of the exceptions noted in CPLR § 1602 et.seq.
- 29. As a result of the aforestated, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount which exceeds the jurisdictional limits of all lower Courts.

AS FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

- 30. Plaintiff repeats and realleges paragraphs One (1) through Twenty-nine (29) above.
- 31. At all times mentioned herein and before, Defendants, their agents, servants, employees or assigns knew or should have known of the dangers presented by the use of their product described herein and failed to provide safeguards, warnings and/or adequate warnings equal to the breath and scope of the dangers of harm presented.
- 32. As a direct result fo the said failures, Plaintiff was caused to suffer serious, severe and permanent personal injuries, pain, burns, disability and special damages.
- 33. As a result of the aforestated, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount which exceeds the jurisdictional limits of all lower Courts.

AS FOR A THIRDE CAUSE OF ACTION

34. Plaintiff repeats and realleges paragraphs One (1) through Thirty-three (33) above.

- 35. In connection with the sale, provisions, delivery of the aforestated product, demonstrations of the aforestated products, Defendants, their agents, servants, employees or assigns expressly warranted and represented said product to be free from defects in design, material, production, workmanship and composition and safe for its intended uses.
- 36. Said warranties and presentations were false, misleading and inaccurate, in that the product when utilized as set forth herein for its intended purposes proved to be unsafe, defective, dangerous, not of mercantile quality and unfit for its intended users.
- 37. As a result of the defects as set forth above, Defendants breached their express warranties and representations.
- 38. At all times mentioned herein, Plaintiff relied upon said warranties and representations.
- 39. As a result of said breaches, Plaintiff has been caused to suffer and sustained serious, severe and permanent personal injuries, pain, suffering, disability and special damages.
- 40. As a result of the aforestated, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount which exceeds the jurisdictional limits of all lower Courts.

AS FOR A FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

41. Plaintiff repeats and realleges paragraphs One (1) through Forty (40) above.

- 42. At all times mentioned herein, Defendants, their agents, servants, employees and assigns impliedly represented and warranted that the aforestated product was safe, of mercantile quality and fit for the ordinary purposes for which the product was intended to be used.
- 43. At all times mentioned herein, Plaintiff relied upon said representations and warranties made by defendants.
- 44. Said implied representations and warranties were false, misleading and inaccurate in that the produce proved to be unsafe, defective, and dangerous when used for the intended purposes.
- 45. As a result of the defects set forth above, Defendants breached implied warranties of merchantability and fitness including those provided and had by statute and common law.
- 46. As a direct result of said breaches, Plaintiff has been caused to suffer and sustain serious, severe and permanent personal injuries, pain, suffering, disability and special damages.
- 47. As a result of the aforestated, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount which exceeds the jurisdictional limits of the lower Court.

AS FOR A FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

48. Plaintiff repeats and realleges paragraphs One (1) through Forty-Seven (47) above.

- 49. Defendants, their agents, servants, employees or assigns negligently, carelessly and recklessly designed, manufactured, produced, assembled, used, packaged, distributed, labeled, and placed the aforesaid dangerous product into the stream of commerce.
- 50. As a direct result of the said negligence, carelessness and recklessness, Plaintiff has been caused to suffer and sustain serious, severe and permanent personal injuries, pain, suffering, disability and special damages.
- 51. As a result of the aforestated, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount which exceeds the jurisdictional limits of all lower Courts.
- 52. Defendants actions were so willful, wanton, reckless and in utter disregard of the public health, safety and welfare that Plaintiff maintains an additional claim for punitive or exemplary damages in an amount which exceeds the jurisdictional limits of the lower Court.

AS FOR A SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

- 53. Plaintiff repeats and realleges paragraphs One (1) through Fifty-Two (52) above.
- 54. That at all of the times hereinafter mentioned, **ALMA LASERS INC.**, was negligent in the hiring, supervision & training of their employees, servants and/or agents.
- 55. That at all of the times hereinafter mentioned, "LYNN" a/k/a JANE DOE, was negligent in the application, use of the product, supervision of the products and in training others about the product.

56. That by reason of the foregoing, the plaintiff, **OMNIA ABOUELENIN** was damaged in the sum of which is in excess of the jurisdictional amounts of the lower courts.

WHEREFORE, plaintiffs demand judgment against the defendants on each cause of action in the amount that exceeds the jurisdictional limits of the lower courts, all together with cost and disbursements of this action.

Dated: Mineola, New York August 7, 2017

CHOPRA & NOCERINO, LLP.

BY:

SAMEER CHOPRA, ESQ.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

85 Willis Avenue, Suite E

New York, New York 11501

(212) 868-3600

File No. 1473.RJ

STATE OF NEW YORK, COUNTY OF NASSAU

ss:

I, the undersigned, an attorney admitted to practice in the courts of New York State, state under penalty of perjury that I am one of the attorneys for the Plaintiffs in the within action; I have read the foregoing VERIFIED SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT and know the contents thereof; the same is true to my own knowledge, except as to the matters therein stated to be alleged on information and belief, and as to those matters I believe to be true. The reason this verification is made by me and not by my clients, is that my clients are not presently in the County where I maintain my offices. The grounds of my belief as to all matters not stated upon my own knowledge are the materials in my file and the investigations conducted by my office.

Dated: Mineola, New York August 7, 2017

SAMEER CHOPRA

Index No. 151766/2017 SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF RICHMOND OMNIA ABOUELENIN, Plaintiff, -against-ALMA LASERS, INC. and "LYNN" a/ka/ JANE DOE, Defendant. SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT CHOPRA & NOCERINO, LLP. Attorneys for Plaintiffs Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 85 Willis Avenue, Suite E Mineola, New York 11501 (212) 868-3600 File No. 1473.RJ

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE THROUGH THE SECRETARY OF STATE

Index # 151766/2017		Purchased/Filed: August 8, 2017
STATE OF NEW YORK	SUPREME COURT	RICHMOND COUNTY
	Ownia Abayalanin	Plaintiff
	Omnia Abouelenin	
	against	Defendant
	Alma Lasers, Inc., et ano.	Boloman
STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF ALBANY	SS.:	
James Perone	, being duly swor	n, deposes and says: deponent is over
the age of eighteen (18) years; that o	n August 10, 2017	, at <u>11:45am</u> , at the office of the
Secretary of State of the State of Nev		
	on Subject to Mandatory Electronic Complaint	
	Alma Lasers, Inc.	, the
Defendant in this action, by delivering	to and leaving with	Sue Zouky ,
		ite of New York, personally at the
Office of the Secretary of State of the		
		dollars; That said service
was made pursuant to Section 306	Business Corporation Law .	
Deponent further says that deponent	knew the person so served as afor	resaid to be the agent in the Office
of the Secretary of State of the State	of New York, duly authorized to ac	cept such service on behalf of said
defendant.		
Description of the person served: A	pprox. Age: 55 Approx.	Wt: 125lbs Approx. Ht: 5'1
		Other:
Sworn to before me on this		1
10day ofAugus	t-2017	
		// P2
DOOT SOURISTED	\	Um I CM
SCOTT SCHUSTER NOTARY PUBLIC, State of Ne NO. 01SC6308636, Albany C		James Perone Attny's File No.
Commission Expires July 28.		InvoicesWork Order # SP1708196